

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 10 May 2002
 Name of applicant/court: The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.
 Applicant to the Labelling Body: Newton Video
 Title of Publication: Asian Dreams/Sting A Taste For Leather
 Other Known Titles: Asian Dreams & Sting-A Taste For Leather
 Director: Not Stated
 Producer: Not Stated
 Publisher: Not Applicable
 Author: Not Applicable
 Format: Video Recording VHS/PAL
 Country of Origin: Not Stated/USA
 Language: German/English

.....

Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Asian Dreams/Sting A Taste For Leather	Running time:	147.35
Trailers:	Newton Video/Overseas Classification	Running time:	
	Notice		0.16
	Aids Safe Sex Notice		0.14
	Duplication Chip Warning Notice		0.05
	Güfa Notice		0.05
		Total Running time:	148.15

Excision/Alteration: Yes

Reason(s) for Excision:

One excision is required to remove a sequence which contains sexual activity between males who present as young persons. By legitimizing such behaviour in the context of an adult videotape intended for sexual arousal, the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes.

Approved components of film after excision:

Feature:	Asian Dreams/Sting A Taste For Leather	Running time:	106.39
Trailers:	Newton Video/Overseas Classification Notice	Running time:	0.14
	Aids Safe Sex Notice		0.14
	Duplication Chip Warning Notice		0.05
	Güfa Notice		0.04
Total Amended Running time:			107.16

Classification Decision:

The part of the film specified for excision has not been excised from the publication. Therefore, the film is classified as **Objectionable**.

If the part of the film specified is excised from the film the publication is classified as **Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.**

Display Conditions:

Nil.

Descriptive Note:

Contains explicit sex scenes.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on: 07 May 2002

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

Not Applicable.

OFLC Ref: 200210